P.R. No. 953/06
Presented by Shri V.K. Sonwani
dated 21/12/06

2
1

Single Bench

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR

CHHATTISGARH

W.P. NO. 883 /2006

PETITIONER : Premlal Sahu, Aged about 41 years, S/o. Dhanaji Sahu, R/o. Qtr. No. PWD/G/37, In front of District Panchayat, Mahasamund, District Mahasamund (CG)

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS :

1] State of Chhattisgarh, Through: Secretary (Home), Police Department, D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur District Raipur (CG)

RECEIVED-COPY 21/12/06 CLERK TO A. G. BILASPUR

2] Inspector General of Police, Raipur Range, District Raipur (CG)

3] Superintendent of Police, Mahasamund, Distt Mahasamund (CG)

20/12/06

4] Director General of Police, Police Head Quarter, Raipur, District Raipur (CG)

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

(35)

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक WP 883/06 सन् 200

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	2-3-2006 Shri Manoj Paranjape, counsel for the petitioner. Shri A.S. Kachhawaha, Govt. Advocate for the State. Heard. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the matter relates to transfer of the petitioner. He further submits that the petitioner is working as Sub Inspector. He also submits that from 18-9-1992 to 13-1-1995 the petitioner was posted at Narayanpur, District Bastar and thereafter he has been transferred to Raipur and thereafter to Mahasamaund. Learned counsel submits that vide order dated 8-2-2006 (Annexure-P/4) the petitioner has been transferred from District Mahasamund to Sarguja Range and in pursuance of the said order, the Superintendent of Police, Mahasamund has passed the order dated 14-2-2006 (Annexure-P/5). He also submits that the order has been issued during the ban period. Learned counsel submits that the petitioner had already served in the Naxalite affected area for a period of two years. It is pointed out that the petitioner submitted representation on 15-2-2006 (Annexure-P/7) before the respondent authorities. Petitioner is a Sub Inspector and services of Police personnel are required by the Government in every place and that too	

[पीछे देखिये

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

(36)

आदेश पत्रक

WP 883/06

मामला क्रमांक ..... **सन्** 200

..... **विरुद्ध** .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित -2-	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	transfer is an exigency of service, no case is made out for interference in the impugned order, however, in the opinion of this Court, ends of justice will be served if a direction is given to the petitioner to make a detailed representation afresh raising his grievances before the competent authority of the respondents alongwith a copy of the petition and this order within a period of two weeks from today and on such a representation being filed the same shall be considered and decided by the competent authority of the respondents on its own merits in accordance with law as early as possible preferably within a period of two months from the date of production of the representation. With the aforesaid observations, this petition stands disposed of. Consequently M.W.P. 692/06 & I.A.No.2271/2006 also stand disposed of. Certified copy as per rules. Gowri	**Sd/-** **Fakhruddin** **Judge**

[पीछे देखिये

9.3.06